arXiv:1209.3919v2 [math.GT] 9 Oct 2012

ON THE LIMIT SET OF A GEOMETRICALLY INFINITE KLEINIAN GROUP

WOOJIN JEON

Abstract. For a torsion free Kleinian group Γ without parabolics, we consider the decomposition of the limit set $L(\Gamma)$ into conical and ending limit sets and compare the Patterson-Sullivan measure with the harmonic measure on $L(\Gamma)$ when $L(\Gamma) = S^2_\infty$.

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a finitely generated *Kleinian group*, i.e., a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. We assume that Γ has no elliptic or parabolic elements. Since $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is the group of orientation preserving isometries of the hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}^3$, we can consider the action of Γ on $\mathbb{H}^3$ which can be continuously extended to the ideal boundary S^2_∞ of $\mathbb{H}^3$. The *limit set* $L(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the set of limit points of an orbit $\Gamma \cdot o$ where $o \in \mathbb{H}^3 \cup S^2_\infty$. $L(\Gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of o and is contained in S^2_∞. $\Omega(\Gamma) = S^2_\infty \backslash L(\Gamma)$ becomes the domain of discontinuity of the action of Γ and by the Ahlfors' finiteness theorem, $\Omega(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ consists of finitely many Riemann surfaces which are called the *conformal boundaries at infinity* of Γ.

Recall that Γ is called *geometrically finite(resp. convex cocompact)* if its convex core has finite volume(resp. if its convex core is compact). A well known result of Beardon, Maskit[4] and Bishop[3] says that Γ is *geometrically finite* if and only if $L(\Gamma)$ consists only of *conical limit points* and parabolic fixed points. Geometrically finite Kleinian groups are completely parametrized by the product of Teichmüller spaces of their conformal boundaries at infinity. For *geometrically infinite* Kleinian groups, the celebrated Ending lamination theorem[2, 8] which was conjectured by Thurston says a Kleinian group Γ is uniquely determined by the *end invariants* of the hyperbolic 3-manifold $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ up to conjugacy. For a hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$, the complex structures of its conformal boundaries at infinity together with its *ending laminations* are called the end invariants of M. The Ending lamination theorem is not a complete classification of finitely generated Kleinian groups because we don't exactly know the possible set of end

---

Date: October 10, 2012.

[1] 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51M10, 57S25, 60J50

[2] *Key words and phrases.* Cannon-Thurston map, Conical limit set, Poisson boundary, harmonic measure.

invariants arising from hyperbolic 3-manifolds but there are some researches toward this direction[19, 18, 26].

The work of Cannon and Thurston[12] motivated another way of studying the geometrically infinite Kleinian groups. The main interest has been on the existence of the *Cannon-Thurston map*[21, 24, 20, 7, 22](See Section 2 for the definition) and Mj completed this project in [23], i.e., he showed that the Cannon-Thurston map exists for every Kleinian group. In this paper, we give a decomposition of the limit set of a geometrically infinite Kleinian group without parabolics by applying the properties of the Cannon-Thurston map. We shall call $p \in L(\Gamma)$ is an *ending limit point* if its inverse image by the Cannon-Thurston map consists of more than one point. The *ending limit set* is the set of ending limit points.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a geometrically infinite Kleinian group without parabolics. Then $L(\Gamma)$ is the disjoint union of conical limit points and ending limit points.*

We shall prove that $y \in L(\Gamma)$ is a conical limit point if and only if its inverse image by the Cannon-Thurston map consists of only one point. For a geometrically infinite Kleinian group, its ending limit set is always nonempty.

One of the motivations of considering ending limit points of Γ is to compare the harmonic measure[15, 16] and the Patterson-Sullivan measure[28, 31] on $L(\Gamma)$. For a probability measure μ on Γ, we consider the random walk on (Γ, μ) and the hitting measure of the random walk on $L(\Gamma)$ which is called as the *harmonic measure* on $L(\Gamma)$. The limit set $L(\Gamma)$ with the harmonic measure becomes a model of the *Poisson boundary* of the random walk of (Γ, μ). See Section 3 for its definition. When $L(\Gamma) = S^2_\infty$, we shall get the following Proposition 1.2 from the existence of an ending limit point.

Proposition 1.2. *Let Γ be a geometrically infinite Kleinian group without parabolics such that $L(\Gamma) = S^2_\infty$. Let μ be a symmetric probability measure on Γ with finite support and such that the support of μ generates Γ. Then the harmonic measure is singular with respect to the Patterson-Sullivan measure on $L(\Gamma)$.*

Series[30] dealt with the Martin boundary of a Fuchsian group which is by definition, a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Guivarc'h and Le Jan[14] proved that for a finite covolume Fuchsian group with cusps, the harmonic measure on S^1_∞ is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure and this result was reproven in [5, Theorem 1.11]. For the mapping class group of a closed hyperbolic surface S, Kaimanovich and Masur[17] proved that if μ satisfies some conditions, then the Poisson boundary of the mapping class group with respect to μ is the space of projective measured lamination $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ of S and Gadre[13] proved that the harmonic measure coming from μ with finite support on $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ is singular with respect to the Thurston(Lebesgue) measure on $\mathcal{PML}(S)$.

Note that by the work of Canary[9], it is known that the Tameness theorem[1, 11] implies the following. When $L(\Gamma) = S^2_\infty$, the Patterson-Sullivan measure on $L(\Gamma)$ is equal to the Lebesgue measure. This case occurs when Γ has no conformal boundaries at infinity. Thus in this case, the Lebesgue measure on S^2_∞ is also singular with respect to the harmonic measure on $L(\Gamma)$.

2. ENDING LIMIT SET

Let's recall that a geodesic lamination on a hyperbolic surface S is a closed union of disjoint simple geodesics and we can regard the space of measured laminations as a completion of weighted simple closed geodesics. We refer to [10, 32] for the basic theory of geodesic and measured laminations. Let Γ be a Kleinian group without ellipic and parabolics. An end E of the hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ is called as a *geometrically infinite end* if and only if there exists a sequence of closed geodesics $\{c_n\}$ which exits the end E. By the Tameness theorem[1, 11], E is homeomorphic to $S_E \times [0, \infty)$. We can homotope each of $\{c_n\}$ to S_E and straighten it to a geodesic on S_E and take limit in the space of projective measured laminations. The support of the limit which is a geodesic lamination is called the *ending lamination* of E. When Γ has no parabolics, each end has only one ending lamination which does not depend on the choice of the exiting sequence of closed geodesics $\{c_n\}$. For more of the properties of the ending lamination, see [2, 8, 32]. The Kleinian group Γ is *geometrically infinite* if and only if it has a geometrically infinite end.

When we choose a base point x_0 in $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ then we get a discrete, faithful representation $\rho : \pi_1(M, x_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that the image of ρ is Γ. By the Uniformization theorem of Thurston[25], there exists a convex cocompact representation ρ' of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$. Let Γ' be the image of ρ'. By applying the Švarc-Milnor lemma to the convex core of Γ', we see that Γ' is a hyperbolic group and thus Γ is also a hyperbolic group. We can identify the Gromov boundary $\partial\Gamma$ with $L(\Gamma')$. Now let's define the *orbit map* $\tau_{o',o}$ as the unique equivariant map from the $\Gamma' \cdot o'$ to $\Gamma \cdot o$ sending o' to o where o' and o are the chosen base points in each $\mathbb{H}^3$. The *Cannon-Thurston map* $\widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ is a continous extension of $\tau_{o',o}$ to $L(\Gamma')$. It is known (see Mj[23]) that $\widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ exists for every Kleinian group Γ and that $\widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ is a finite to one map onto $L(\Gamma)$. Moreover we can characterize the points in $L(\Gamma')$ where $\widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ is not injective.

Theorem 2.1. [23]

Let $\Gamma, \Gamma', \widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ be as above. For $p \in L(\Gamma)$, if $\widehat{\tau}^{-1}_{o',o}(p)$ containes two distinct points p', q' in $L(\Gamma')$ then there exists a finite set $\{p_1, \cdots, p_n\}$ in $\widehat{\tau}^{-1}_{o',o}(p)$ such that p_i, p_{i+1} is the end points of a bi-infinite geodesic which is a lift of an ending lamination leaf of Γ and $q = p_i$ for some $i \leq n$ where we put $p_0 = p'$.

We regard that the ending lamination of Γ is realized in $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma'$ so that each of its leaves can be lifted to a bi-infinite geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^3$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\Gamma, \Gamma', \widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ be as above. The ending limit set $L_e(\Gamma)$ of Γ is defined to be $\{y \in L(\Gamma) : |\widehat{\tau}_{o',o}^{-1}(y)| \geq 2\}$. We call the elements of $L_e(\Gamma)$ as the ending limit points.*

We use the following definitions of a *conical limit point* which can be found in [6, pp. 266] for example.

Definition 2.3. *$y \in L(\Gamma)$ is a conical limit point if there exists a geodesic ray β toward y such that its bounded neighborhood containes a sequence $\gamma_n \cdot o$ converging to y. Equivalently, $y \in L(\Gamma)$ is a conical limit point if there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_n^{-1}\}$ in Γ such that for any geodesic ray β toward y, $\gamma_n^{-1}(\beta)$ converges to some geodesic ray in $\mathbb{H}^3$ up to taking a subsequence.*

Considering the equivalence of the above two definitions of conical limit points, we get the following elementary Lemma 2.4 which is necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.1. We denote by $(p, q) \in S^2_\infty \times S^2_\infty$ the bi-infinite geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^3$ joining p and q.

Lemma 2.4. *Let γ_n, y be as in Definition 2.3 and let the axis of γ_n be (a_n, b_n) so that a_n(resp. b_n) is the repelling(resp. attracting) fixed point of γ_n. Assume a_n(resp. b_n) converges to a_∞(resp. b_∞) by taking a subsequence if necessary. Then $y = b_\infty$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let's shorten $\widehat{\tau}_{o',o}$ by $\widehat{\tau}$. First we claim that the conical limit set of Γ contains $\{y \in L(\Gamma) : |\widehat{\tau}^{-1}(y)| = 1\}$. Let's denote $\{y \in L(\Gamma) : |\widehat{\tau}^{-1}(y)| = 1\}$ by $L_c(\Gamma)$. Given $y \in L_c(\Gamma)$, choose a bi-infinite geodesic $\beta = (y, z)$ where $z \in L_c(\Gamma)$. Let $\widehat{\tau}^{-1}(y) = y'$ and $\widehat{\tau}^{-1}(z) = z'$. Suppose that $\gamma_n(\beta)$ doesn't converge to a bi-infinite geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^3$ for all sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ of distinct elements in Γ. Since y', z' are conical, we can choose $\{\gamma'_n\}$ in Γ' such that $\gamma'_n(\beta')$ converges to some bi-infinite geodesic l where $\beta' = (y', z')$. Then the end points of l are identified to a point on S^2_∞. Now we apply Theorem 2.1 and we assume that l is a lift of an ending lamination for simplicity. Then since $\gamma'^{-1}_n(l)$ converges to β', β' itself is also a lift of an ending lamination leaf. This contradicts the assumption that $\widehat{\tau}(y') = y$ and $\widehat{\tau}(z') = z$ are distinct. When the end points of l are joined by finitely many lifts of ending lamination leaves as in Theroem 2.1, the proof is almost the same.

Now we show that there are no conical limit points in $L_e(\Gamma) = \{y \in L(\Gamma) : |\widehat{\tau}^{-1}(y)| \geq 2\}$. Suppose that $y \in L_e(\Gamma)$ is a conical limit point. Then given a bi-infinite geodesic $\beta = (z, y)$ toward y, there exists $\{\gamma_n\} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_n(\beta)$ converges to a bi-infinite geodesic $\beta_\infty = (z_\infty, y_\infty)$ in $\mathbb{H}^3$. Let l be the bi-infinite geodesic whose end points are identified to y by $\widehat{\tau}$. We can choose l so that l is a lift of an ending lamination leaf by Theroem 2.1. Let $\gamma'_n = \rho'\rho^{-1}(\gamma_n)$ and let $\beta' = (z', y')$ where we choose $z' \in \widehat{\tau}^{-1}(z)$ arbitrarily and choose $y' \in \widehat{\tau}^{-1}(y)$ so that y' is one of the end points of l. Then $\gamma'_n(\beta')$

has to converge to $\beta'_\infty = (z'_\infty, y'_\infty)$ where $z'_\infty \in \widehat{\tau}^{-1}(z_\infty), y'_\infty \in \widehat{\tau}^{-1}(y_\infty)$. By Lemma 2.4, the axis of γ'_n converges to (y', z'_∞) and γ'_n moves l toward z'_∞ as n goes to ∞. Thus $\gamma'_n(l)$ converges to β'_∞ which means β'_∞ is a lift of an ending lamination leaf so that we get $\widehat{\tau}(z'_\infty) = \widehat{\tau}(y'_\infty)$. This contradicts our assumption that β_∞ is a bi-infinite geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^3$. ☐

A motivating example of a geometrically infinite Kleinian group comes from the infinite cyclic covering of the mapping torus. Let S be a closed hyperbolic surface and let $\phi : S \to S$ be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Then by Thurston and Otal[27], $M_\phi = S \times \mathbb{R}/((x,t) \sim (\phi(x), t+1))$ is a hyperbolic manifold. The infinite cyclic covering $S \times \mathbb{R}$ of M_ϕ has also a hyperbolic structure represented by a Kleinian group Γ which is isomorphic to $\pi_1(S)$. The Dirichlet fundamental domain D of $S \times \mathbb{R}$ can be constructed by concatenating the translations of the compact fundamental domain of M_ϕ by the loxodromic isometry γ_ϕ corresponding to ϕ. Thus D meets $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ at two end points of the axis of γ_ϕ. Let's denote one of them by p_ϕ.

Proposition 2.5. *p_ϕ is not a conical limit point of Γ.*

Proof. This proof is a simpler version of Bishop's argument in [3]. Choose a base point $o \in \mathbb{H}^3$ so that D is the fundamental domain with respect to o and consider $\{\gamma_\phi^i \cdot o\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. We can assume that $\gamma_\phi^i \cdot o$ converges to p_ϕ and can also assume that o is on the axis of the loxodromic isometry γ_ϕ. If we join each $\gamma_\phi^i \cdot o$ and $\gamma_\phi^{i+1} \cdot o$ by a geodesic segment, we get a geodesic ray β toward p_ϕ. Since β is contained in D, $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(o, \gamma_\phi^i \cdot o) = d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\Gamma \cdot o, \gamma_\phi^i \cdot o)$. Thus $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\Gamma \cdot o, \gamma_\phi^i \cdot o)$ goes to infinity as $i \to \infty$. From this, we can see that β cannnot contain any sequence in $\Gamma \cdot o$ converging to p_ϕ in its bounded neighborhood. The same is true for any geodesic ray β' toward p_ϕ. ☐

Thus from Theorem 1.1, $\Gamma \cdot p_\phi$ is contained in the ending limit set. Since the number of leaves of an ending lamination is uncountable, the ending limit set is also uncountable and thus it containes some limits of the points in $\Gamma \cdot p_\phi$. It is easy to generalize the above Proposition 2.5.

Proposition 2.6. *For any geometrically infinite Γ without parabolics, the Dirichlet fundamental domain of Γ meets $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ on the ending limit points.*

Proof. Since the Dirichlet fundamental domain D of Γ is convex, we can take a geodesic ray β from the base point o to a point $p_\infty \in D \cap S^2_\infty$. For any $p \in \beta$, we get $d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(o, p) = d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(\Gamma \cdot o, p)$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.5 and thus there is no converging sequence of $\Gamma \cdot o$ in a bounded neighborhood of β. ☐

3. Harmonic measure on the limit set

Let μ be a probability measure on the Kleinian group Γ. We assume that μ is *symmetric* in the sense that $\mu(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma^{-1})$ and we also assume that μ is *nondegenerate*, i.e., the support of μ generates Γ. The *first moment* of μ

is $\sum |\gamma|\mu(\gamma)$ where $|\gamma|$ is the word length of γ with respect to a fixed finite generating set of Γ.

The *random walk* on Γ with respect to μ is a sequence of independent random variables $\{Z_n\}$ with values in (Γ, μ). We denote $\{Z_n\}$ as Z. When $Z_0 = id$, the random walk starts from the identity of Γ. If we set $Y_n = Z_0 Z_1 \cdots Z_n$, then the random walk Z is equivalent to $Y = \{Y_n\}$ which is a Markov chain with the transition probability $p_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \mu(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2)$. We regard Z as an element of the product probability space $(\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu^{\mathbb{N}})$ and Y as an element of $(\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$ where

$$\mathbb{P}(\{(Y_n)_n | Y_0 = id, Y_1 = \gamma_1, Y_2 = \gamma_2, \cdots, Y_n = \gamma_n\}) = p_{id,\gamma_1} p_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} \cdots p_{\gamma_{n-1},\gamma_n}$$

The time shift operator T acts on $(\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$ by $(TY)_n = Y_{n+1}$ and defines an equivalence relation $\sim$ by defining $Y \sim Y'$ if and only if there exist integers k, k' such that $T^k Y = T^{k'} Y'$.

Definition 3.1. *The Poisson boundary of* Γ *with respect to* μ *is quotient space of* $(\Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$ *by the smallest measurable equivalence relation generated by the equivalence relation* $\sim$.

The smallest measurable equivalence relation is called as the *measurable envelope*[29]. For a nonelementary hyperbolic group Γ and a probability measure μ with finite first moment, Kaimanovich[15] proved that $\mathbb{P}$-almost every sample path of the random walk (Γ, μ) converges in $\partial\Gamma$ and $\partial\Gamma$ with the limit measure is measurably isomorphic to the Poisson boundary of Γ.

When we consider the geometrically infinite Kleinian group Γ without parabolics, the random walk of (Γ, μ) can be identified with the random sequence of points in $\Gamma \cdot o$ and almost every sample sequence converges in $L(\Gamma)$. Moreover $L(\Gamma)$ with the limit measure is also isomorphic to the Poisson boundary of Γ with respect to μ. See Section 7 in [16]. This limit measure is called the *harmonic measure*. Note that the Cannon-Thurston map gives the measure equivalence between $\partial\Gamma$ and $L(\Gamma)$ where the measures on $\partial\Gamma$ and $L(\Gamma)$ are harmonic measures.

Blachère, Haïssinsky and Mathieu[5] compared the harmonic and Patterson-Sullivan measures on the Gromov boundary of a hyperbolic group. They showed that these two measures are equivalent and represented the dimension of the harmonic measure using the *asymptotic entropy* and the *rate of escape* of the random walk. We can simplify the assumptions in [5, Prop. 5.5] so that we get the following Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 3.2. [5] *Let* Γ *be a geometrically infinite Kleinian group without parabolics and such that* $L(\Gamma) = S^2_\infty$ *and let* μ *be a symmetric probability measure on* Γ *with finite support. If the harmonic measure coming from* μ *and the Patterson-Sullivan measure on* S^2_∞ *are equivalent, then the embedding of* Γ *into* $\Gamma \cdot o$ *in* $\mathbb{H}^3$ *is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

Now we give the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We only need to show that the orbit map $\tau_{o',o}$ from $\Gamma' \cdot o'$ to $\Gamma \cdot o$ is not a quasi-isometric embedding. Since Γ is geometrically infinite, there exists a sequence of loxodromic isometries γ'_n such that the axis of $\gamma_n = \rho\rho'^{-1}(\gamma'_n)$ converges to a point on S^2_∞ but the axis of γ'_n converges to a bi-infinite geodesic. $\{(\gamma'_n)^i \cdot o'\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are within uniformly bounded distance from the axis of γ'_n but the image of $\tau_{o',o}$ of $\{(\gamma'_n)^i \cdot o'\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ looks more and more like the orbit of a parabolic isometry so that the distance between the axis of γ_n and $\{(\gamma_n)^i \cdot o\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ goes to ∞ as $n\to\infty$. Hence $\tau_{o',o}$ cannot be a quasi-isometric embedding. □

References

[1] I. Agol, *Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, preprint, arXiv:math.GT/0405568 (2004).

[2] J. Brock, D. Canary and Y. Minsky, *The classification of Kleinian surface groups II: the ending lamination conjecture*, Annals of Mathematics, 176 (2012), 1-149.

[3] C. J. Bishop, *On a theorem of Beardon and Maskit*, Annales Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Vol. 21, (1996), 383-388.

[4] A. Beardon and B. Maskit, *Limit points of Kleinian groups and finite sided fundamental polyhedra*, Acta Math. 132. (1974), 1-12.

[5] S. Blachère, P. Haïssinsky and P. Mathieu, *Harmonic measures versus quasiconformal measures for hyperbolic groups*, preprint, arXiv:0806.3915.

[6] B. H. Bowditch, *Geometrical finiteness with variable negative curvature*, Duke Math. J. Volume 77, Number 1 (1995), 229-274.

[7] B. H. Bowditch, *The Cannon-Thurston map for punctured surface groups*, Math. Z. 255 (2007) 35-76.

[8] B. H. Bowditch, *The ending lamination theorem*, preprint (2011).

[9] D. Canary, *Ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 6 (1993), 1-35.

[10] A. Casson and S. Bleiler, *Automorphisms of surfaces after Nielsen and Thurston*, London Math. Soc. Student Text 9.

[11] D. Calegari and D. Gabai, *Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), no.2, 385-446.

[12] J. Cannon and W. P. Thurston, *Group invariant Peano Curves*, Geometry and Topology 11(2007), 1315-1356.

[13] V. Gadre, *Harmonic measures for distributions with finite support on the mapping class group are singular*, arXiv:0911.2891.

[14] Y. Guivarc'h and Y. Le Jan, *Sur ènroulement du flot geodesique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 311 (1990) no. 10, 645-648.

[15] V. A. Kaimanovich, *Poisson boundaries of hyperbolic groups*, C. R. Ac. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 318 (1994), 59-64.

[16] V. A. Kaimanovich, *The Poisson formula for groups with hyperbolic properties*, Ann. of Math. 152 (2000), 659-692.

[17] V. A. Kaimanovich and H. Masur, *The Poisson boundary of the mapping class group*, Invent. Math. Vol 125 (1996), 221-264.

[18] I. Kim, C. Lecuire and K. Ohshika, Convergence of freely decomposable Kleinian groups, preprint.

[19] G. Kleineidam, J. Souto, *Algebraic convergence of function groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 77 (2002), 244-269.

[20] C. McMullen, *Local connectivity, Kleinian groups and geodesics on the blow-up of the torus*, Invent. math. 97 (2000), 95-127.

[21] Y. N. Minsky, *On rigidity, limit sets and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), 539-588

[22] M. Mj, *Cannon-Thurston map for Surface groups*, preprint, arXiv:math.GT/0511041 (2006).

[23] M. Mj, *Cannon-Thurston maps for Kleinian groups*, preprint, arXiv:1002.0996.

[24] M. Mj, *Cannon-Thurston maps for pared manifolds of bounded geometry*, Geometry and Topology 13 (2009), 189-245.

[25] J. W. Morgan, *On Thurson's uniformization theorem for 3-dimensional manifolds*, In The Smith conjecture (New York, 1979) (1984), pp. 37-125.

[26] K. Ohshika, *Divergent sequences of Kleinian groups*, Geometry and Topology mono. Vol 1, Epstein birthday shrift (1998), 419-450.

[27] J. P. Otal, *Le théorème d'hyperbolisation pour les variétès fibrées de dimension 3*, Astérisque 235 (1996).

[28] S. J. Patterson, *The limit set of a fuchsian group*, Acta math. 136 (1976), 241-273.

[29] V. A. Rokhlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. 71 (1962).

[30] C. Series, *Martin boundaries of random walks on Fuchsian groups*, Israel J. Math. 44, 1983.

[31] D. Sullivan, *The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions*, Publ. math. IHES 50 (1979), 171-202.

[32] W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, lecture notes, Princeton University (1980).

Woojin Jeon
School of Mathematics
KIAS, Hoegiro 87, Dongdaemun-gu
Seoul, 130-722, Korea
`jwoojin@kias.re.kr`